## **CALGARY ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD DECISION WITH REASONS**

In the matter of the complaint against the Property assessment as provided by the Municipal Government Act, Chapter M-26, Section 460(4).

#### between:

Altus Group Ltd., COMPLAINANT

and

The City Of Calgary, RESPONDENT

#### before:

L. Wood, PRESIDING OFFICER I. Zacharopoulos, MEMBER

This is a complaint to the Calgary Assessment Review Board in respect of Property assessment prepared by the Assessor of The City of Calgary and entered in the 2010 Assessment Roll as follows:

**ROLL NUMBER:** 

112142005

**LOCATION ADDRESS: 7170 BLACKFOOT TR SE** 

**HEARING NUMBER:** 

59508

ASSESSMENT:

\$4,120,000

This complaint was heard on 4th day of October, 2010 at the office of the Assessment Review Board located at Floor Number 3, 1212 – 31 Avenue NE, Calgary, Alberta, Boardroom 9.

Appeared on behalf of the Complainant:

Mr. R. Worthington

Appeared on behalf of the Respondent:

Mr. G. Bell

### **Board's Decision in Respect of Procedural or Jurisdictional Matters:**

The parties consented to a two member panel hearing and deciding the complaint in this instance.

#### **Property Description:**

The subject property is a single tenant warehouse comprised of 26,804 sq ft of rentable building area, located on a 2.99 acre site in Fairview Industrial. The warehouse was built in 1969. There is also an industrial outbuilding single tenant on site that has 2,920 sq ft of rentable building area, built in 1970. The land use designation is I-G, Industrial General. The site coverage ratio is 22.79%.

#### <u>Issues</u>: (as indicated on the complaint form)

1. The use, quality and physical condition attributed by the municipality to the subject property is incorrect, inequitable and does not satisfy the requirement of section 289(2) of the *Municipal Government Act*.

**Complainant's Requested Value:** \$2,730,000

#### Board's Decision in Respect of Each Matter or Issue:

The Board notes that there were several statements on the appendix to the complaint form; however, it will only address those issues that were raised at the hearing.

1. The use, quality and physical condition attributed by the municipality to the subject property is incorrect, inequitable and does not satisfy the requirement of section 289(2) of the *Municipal Government Act*.

At the hearing, the Complainant submitted the assessment for the subject property should be reduced to \$3,800,000 which is the same value that was determined by the Municipal Government Board for the subject property's 2009 assessment (Exhibit R1 page 19). The Complainant indicated that the reduction is due to the construction elements and the condition of the warehouse.

The Respondent submitted that the Complainant's request of \$3,800,000 is reasonable for the subject site especially given the building's location, age and layout.

Based on the above, the Board grants the Complainant's request to reduce the assessment for the subject property.

## **Board's Decision:**

The decision of the Board is to reduce the 2010 assessment for the subject property from \$4,120,000 to \$3,800,000.

DATED AT THE CITY OF CALGARY THIS 26 DAY OF OCTOBER 2010.

Lana J. Wood
Presiding Officer

#### **APPENDIX A**

# DOCUMENTS RECEIVED AND CONSIDERED BY THE ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD:

NO.	ITEM
Exhibit C1 Exhibit C2 Exhibit C3 Exhibit R1	Evidence Submission of the Complainant Altus Binder Assessment Review Board decisions & legislation excerpts City of Calgary's Assessment Brief

An appeal may be made to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction with respect to a decision of an assessment review board.

Any of the following may appeal the decision of an assessment review board:

- (a) the complainant;
- (b) an assessed person, other than the complainant, who is affected by the decision;
- (c) the municipality, if the decision being appealed relates to property that is within the boundaries of that municipality;
- (d) the assessor for a municipality referred to in clause (c).

An application for leave to appeal must be filed with the Court of Queen's Bench within 30 days after the persons notified of the hearing receive the decision, and notice of the application for leave to appeal must be given to

- (a) the assessment review board, and
- (b) any other persons as the judge directs.